TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- 1. Basic design rules for electrical machines
- 2. Design of Induction Machines
- 3. Heat transfer and cooling of electrical machines
- 4. Dynamics of electrical machines
- 5. Dynamics of DC machines
- 6. Space vector theory
- 7. Dynamics of induction machines
- 8. Dynamics of synchronous machines





TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 1 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

Source:

SPEED program



5. Dynamics of DC machines



Source: Siemens AG



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 2 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder



- 5. Dynamics of DC machines
 - 5.1 Dynamic system equations of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.2 Dynamic response of electrical and mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.3 Dynamics of coupled electric-mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.4 Linearized model of separately excited DC machine for variable flux
 - 5.5 Transfer function of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.6 Dynamic simulation of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.7 Converter operated separately excited DC machine



Dynamic system equations of separately excited DC machines



 $k_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{z \cdot 2p}{2a}$ Uf Machine constant: la ms Induced voltage of motion: $u_i(t) = k_2 \cdot \Omega_m(t) \cdot \Phi(i_f)$, if Load $m_e(t) = k_2 \cdot i_a(t) \cdot \Phi(i_f)$ Machine torque: $\Omega_{\mathbf{m}}$ Main flux per pole: $\Phi(i_f)$ ua $\Omega_m(t) = 2\pi \cdot n(t)$ k_∅·i_f Armature circuit: $u_a(t) = R_a \cdot i_a(t) + L_a \cdot \frac{di_a(t)}{dt} + u_i(t)$ Mechanical acceleration: $J \cdot \frac{d\Omega_m}{dt} = m_e(t) - m_s(t)$ $\Phi(i_f)$ $=N_f \cdot k_{\varphi}$ Field circuit: $u_f(t) = R_f \cdot i_f(t) + L_f \cdot \frac{di_f(t)}{dt}$ 1 f I_{fN} 0 Non-linear differential equations, even if L_{f} = const.





5. Dynamics of DC machines Saturation-dependent field inductance $L_{\rm f}(i_{\rm f})$







TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 5 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

No magnetic coupling between armature and field circuit

- Armature field: Armature self-inductance *L_a* Main field: Field self-inductance *L_f*.
- Mutual inductance M_{af} only between

a) commutating armature coils (= short-circuited by brushes) and field coil: $M_{af,com}$, b) otherwise zero: $M_{af} = 0$.





TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

DARMSTADT

TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 7 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

• Electrical time constant of armature: $T_a = L_a / R_a$ • Mechanical time constant of machine and load: $T_m = \frac{J \cdot R_a}{(k_2 \Phi)^2}$

$$u_{a}(t) = R_{a} \cdot i_{a}(t) + L_{a} \cdot \frac{di_{a}(t)}{dt} + k_{2} \cdot \Omega_{m}(t) \cdot \Phi$$
If flux is kept constant,
then the set of differential equation of second order with constant coefficients:

 $\frac{d^2 \Omega_m}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{T_a} \cdot \frac{d \Omega_m}{dt} + \frac{1}{T_a \cdot T_m} \cdot \Omega_m = \frac{1}{T_a \cdot T_m} \cdot \frac{1}{k_2 \Phi} u_a - \frac{1}{T_a} \cdot \frac{1}{J} \cdot m_s - \frac{1}{J} \cdot \frac{dm_s}{dt}$

 $\frac{d^2 i_a}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{T_a} \cdot \frac{d i_a}{dt} + \frac{1}{T_a \cdot T_m} \cdot i_a = \frac{1}{T_a \cdot R_a} \cdot \frac{d u_a}{dt} + \frac{1}{T_a \cdot T_m} \cdot \frac{1}{k_2 \Phi} \cdot m_s$

differential equations

5. Dynamics of DC machines Operation at constant field current $I_{\rm f}$

 $u_f(t) = U_f = R_f \cdot I_f$









- Changing of armature current and rotor speed is ruled by armature voltage u_a and is disturbed by load torque m_s, which both are contained in "right side" of system differential equation.
- DC machine at constant main flux Φ = const.: LINEAR system: DC machine may be controlled in an easy way.
- Mechanical time constant $T_{\rm m} \sim 1/\Phi^2$ decreases via the square of increasing flux.
- Machine gets "weaker" ($T_{\rm m}$ ⁽) at:
- a) Flux weakening $\Phi \downarrow$
- b) Increased stator resistance R_a , which causes voltage drop, thus reducing internal voltage of motion (= induced voltage) U_i ,
 - \Rightarrow Mechanical time constant = response to load step: $T_{\rm m}$ increases !



Starting time constant $T_{\rm J}$ of electric machines





• Relationship between starting time constant T_J and mechanical time constant T_m is given with per unit resistance r_a and flux ϕ :

$$r_{a} = \frac{R_{a}}{U_{N} / I_{N}}, \phi = \Phi / \Phi_{N}: \quad T_{J0} = J_{M} \cdot \frac{\Omega_{m0}}{M_{N}} = J_{M} \cdot \frac{U_{N} / (k_{2} \Phi_{N})}{k_{2} \Phi_{N} I_{N}} = T_{m} \cdot \frac{1}{r_{a}} \cdot \left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_{N}}\right)^{2}$$

$$r_{\rm a} = 0.05, \ \Phi = \Phi_{\rm N}: \ T_{\rm J0} = 20 \cdot T_{\rm m}, \quad T_{\rm J0} = 10 \text{ s}, \ T_{\rm m} = 0.5 \text{ s}$$





Starting time constant $T_{\rm J}$ vs. mechanical time constant $T_{\rm m}$

Starting time to rated speed $n_{\rm N}$:

$$J_{J0} = J_M \cdot \frac{\Omega_{m0}}{M_N}$$

$$T_J = J_M \cdot \frac{\Omega_{mN}}{M_N} < T_{J0}$$



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 10 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder



Summary:

Dynamic system equations of separately excited DC machine

- Separately excited DC machine treated in this lecture
- Second order differential electro-mechanical equation for armature circuit
- At constant main flux ϕ = const.: Linear differential equation
- Long mechanical and short electrical time constant $T_m >> T_a$
- Do not mix mechanical time constant T_m and starting time constant T_J !





- 5. Dynamics of DC machines
 - 5.1 Dynamic system equations of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.2 Dynamic response of electrical and mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.3 Dynamics of coupled electric-mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.4 Linearized model of separately excited DC machine for variable flux
 - 5.5 Transfer function of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.6 Dynamic simulation of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.7 Converter operated separately excited DC machine



Dynamics of mechanical system of DC machine (1)



a) Steady state condition: d./dt = 0 :



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 13 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

DARMSTADT

5. Dynamics of DC machines Steady-state characteristic in p.u.







TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 14 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

Dynamics of mechanical system of DC machine (2)



$$\frac{d\Omega_m}{dt} + \frac{1}{T_m} \cdot \Omega_m = \frac{1}{T_m} \cdot \frac{1}{k_2 \Phi} u_a - \frac{1}{J} \cdot m_s$$

b) Dynamic operation:

Example:

Switching on of DC machine at a) rated flux and b) no-load $m_s = 0$:

Initial condition: Zero speed $\Omega_m(0) = 0$. Armature voltage is switched from zero to rated value $U_a = U_N$ for t > 0: Ω_m







Dynamics of mechanical system of DC machine (3)



Dynamic speed response of separately excited DC machine to switching with armature voltage step, leading to exponential increase of speed !

$$m_{e} = J \cdot \frac{d\Omega_{m}}{dt} = J \cdot \frac{\Omega_{m0}}{T_{m}} \cdot \exp(-t/T_{m}) \text{ yields armature current } i_{a} = \frac{U_{N}}{R_{a}} \cdot \exp(-t/T_{m})$$

$$(m_{s} = 0) \qquad t \ge 0$$

$$\frac{m_{e}}{k_{2}\Phi} = i_{a} \Rightarrow \frac{J\Omega_{m0}}{T_{m} \cdot k_{2}\Phi} = J \frac{u_{a}}{k_{2}\Phi} \cdot \frac{1}{k_{2}\Phi} \cdot \frac{(k_{2}\Phi)^{2}}{JR_{a}} = \frac{u_{a}}{R_{a}}$$

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

DARMSTADT

TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 16 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

UN

ua

Dynamics of mechanical system of DC machine (4)



- At (ideal) no-load the armature current also in motor operation is zero!

<u>Attention</u>: Starting resistor is necessary:

$$\frac{R_a}{U_N / I_N} = 0.025 \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{i}_a = \frac{U_N}{R_a} = \frac{I_N}{0.025} = 40 \cdot I_N \quad \underline{\text{Too big!}}$$







5. Dynamics of DC machines Dynamics of electrical system of DC machine (1)



Taking $T_a \ll T_m$ leads to $T_m \rightarrow \infty$, if electrical system only is investigated.

$$\frac{di_a}{dt} + \frac{1}{T_a} \cdot i_a = \frac{u_a}{T_a \cdot R_a} - \frac{k_2 \Phi \cdot \Omega_m}{T_a \cdot R_a} = \frac{u_a - u_i}{L_a} \qquad J \to \infty:$$

$$\frac{d\Omega_m}{dt} = 0 \to \Omega_m = const.$$

a) Steady state condition: d./dt = 0:



Consumer reference system: $-i_a > 0$: Generator operation



Dynamics of electrical system of DC machine (2)

b) Dynamic operation:

Example:

Switching on of DC machine at rated flux, no-load, zero speed: $\Omega_{\rm m} = 0$ Initial condition: Zero current $i_a(0) = 0$ Armature voltage is switched from zero to rated value $u_a = U_{\rm N}$ for t > 0.







5. Dynamics of DC machines Dynamics of electrical system of DC machine (3)



- Dynamic current response of separately excited DC machine at stand still to switching with armature voltage step of rated voltage, leading to exponential increase of armature current.

Armature current and so electromagnetic torque react to armature voltage steps with the <u>short</u> armature time constant T_a .

- So separately excited DC machines are dynamic drives.

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

DARMSTADT



Summary: Dynamic response of electrical and mechanical system of separately excited DC machine

- Separate solving of electrical and mechanical machine behaviour
- Steady-state solution and step response
- Consumer reference system used
- Steady-state solutions already known from bachelor's course





- 5. Dynamics of DC machines
 - 5.1 Dynamic system equations of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.2 Dynamic response of electrical and mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.3 Dynamics of coupled electric-mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.4 Linearized model of separately excited DC machine for variable flux
 - 5.5 Transfer function of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.6 Dynamic simulation of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.7 Converter operated separately excited DC machine



Dynamics of coupled electric-mechanical system (1)



$$\frac{d^2 \Omega_m}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{T_a} \cdot \frac{d\Omega_m}{dt} + \frac{1}{T_a \cdot T_m} \cdot \Omega_m = \frac{1}{T_a \cdot T_m} \cdot \frac{1}{k_2 \Phi} u_a(t) - \frac{1}{T_a} \cdot \frac{1}{J} \cdot m_s(t) - \frac{1}{J} \cdot \frac{dm_s(t)}{dt}$$

-Iomogeneous differential equation:
$$\frac{d^2 \Omega_m}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{T_a} \cdot \frac{d\Omega_m}{dt} + \frac{1}{T_a \cdot T_m} \cdot \Omega_m = 0$$
$$\Omega_{mh}(t) = C_1 \cdot e^{\lambda_1 t} + C_2 \cdot e^{\lambda_2 t}$$

Characteristic equation for λ :

$$\begin{split} \lambda^2 + \frac{1}{T_a} \cdot \lambda + \frac{1}{T_a \cdot T_m} &= 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \lambda_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{2T_a} \pm \frac{1}{2T_a} \cdot \sqrt{1 - \frac{4T_a}{T_m}} \\ \text{If} \quad T_m < 4T_a \text{, then } \sqrt{1 - \frac{4T_a}{T_m}} &= j \cdot \sqrt{\frac{4T_a}{T_m} - 1} \end{split}$$



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 23 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

Dynamics of coupled electric-mechanical system (2)



a)	$T_m > 4T_a$	λ_1, λ_2 are real values, so transient speed response contains TWO
		time constants $T_1 = -1/\lambda_1, T_2 = -1/\lambda_2$, a short and a long one.
b)	$T_m = 4T_a$	$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ is real value, so transient speed response contains ONE
		time constant $T = -1/\lambda$ ("aperiodic limit").
C)	$T_m < 4T_a$	$\underline{\lambda}_1, \underline{\lambda}_2$ are complex values $\underline{\lambda}_1 = -\delta + j \cdot \omega_d$, $\underline{\lambda}_2 = -\delta - j \cdot \omega_d$, so
		transient speed response oscillates with frequency $f_d = \omega_d / (2\pi)$,
		which is damped by damping coefficient δ .
a) $\Omega_{mh}(t) = C_1 \cdot e^{-t/T_1} + C_2 \cdot e^{-t/T_2}$		

long time constant:
$$T_1 = \frac{2T_a}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4T_a}{T_m}}}$$
, short time constant $T_2 = \frac{2T_a}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4T_a}{T_m}}}$, $T_1 \le T_m$

5. Dynamics of DC machines Long and short time constant T_1 and T_2







TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 25 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

Dynamics of coupled electric-mechanical system (3)



b)
$$\Omega_{mh}(t) = C_1 \cdot e^{-t/T} + C_2 \cdot t \cdot e^{-t/T}$$
, $T = 2T_a$

c)
$$\Omega_{mh}(t) = A \cdot e^{-\delta \cdot t} \cdot \cos(\omega_d \cdot t) + B \cdot e^{-\delta \cdot t} \cdot \sin(\omega_d \cdot t)$$
 A, B: integration constants

- Damping coefficient:
$$\delta = \frac{1}{2T_a}$$

- Eigen-frequency: $f_d = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot T_a} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{T_a}{T_m} - \frac{1}{4}} = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot T_a} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{T_a}{T_J} \cdot \frac{\phi^2}{r_a} - \frac{1}{4}}$, Period: $T_d = \frac{1}{f_d}$

- Number $N_{\rm H}$ of half periods, until oscillations are damped to 5%:

$$e^{-t^*\cdot\delta} = 0.05 \quad \rightarrow \quad t^* = 3/\delta \quad \rightarrow \quad N_H = \frac{t^*}{T_d/2} = \frac{3/\delta}{\pi/\omega_d} \approx \frac{\omega_d}{\delta}$$



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 26 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

Dynamics of coupled electric-mechanical system (4)



Separately excited DC machine:

Inertia T_J = 1 s, electric parameters: T_a = 50 ms, r_a = 0.05, $\Phi = \Phi_N$.

- With $T_J \cong T_{J0}$: Mechanical time constant: $T_m = T_J \cdot \frac{r_a}{\phi^2} = 1 \cdot 0.05/1^2 = \underline{50} \text{ ms}$

- As
$$T_m = 50ms < 4T_a = 4 \cdot 50 = 200ms$$
, DC machine oscillates:

- frequency
$$f_d = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot 0.05} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{0.05}{0.05} - \frac{1}{4}} = \underline{2.76} \, \text{Hz}$$

- angular frequency
$$\omega_d = 2\pi f_d = \underline{17.3} / \mathbf{s}$$
,

- oscillation period of $T_d = 1/f_d = 1/2.76 = \underline{362}$ ms.
- Damping coefficient: $\delta = \frac{1}{2T_a} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 0.05} = \underline{10} / \mathbf{s}$
- After $N_H \approx \frac{\omega_d}{\delta} = \frac{17.3}{10} = \underline{1.73}$ half-periods the oscillation is damped down to 5% of initial value.



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

DARMSTAD

Speed response to mechanical load torque step





TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

DARMSTADT



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 29 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

5. Dynamics of DC machines <u>Example:</u> Start-up of unloaded, separately excited DC motor $(m_s = 0, \ \Phi = \text{const.}, 4 \cdot T_a < T_m)$



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Qualitative solution:







Summary: Dynamics of coupled electric-mechanical system of separately excited DC machine

- Mechanical and electrical transients are
 a) change of speed and b) change of armature current
- DC machine may oscillate, if mechanical time constant is "short": < $4T_a$
- In most cases the big load inertia does not allow any oscillation
- Dynamic current overshoot calculated during motor start-up





- 5. Dynamics of DC machines
 - 5.1 Dynamic system equations of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.2 Dynamic response of electrical and mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.3 Dynamics of coupled electric-mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.4 Linearized model of separately excited DC machine for variable flux
 - 5.5 Transfer function of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.6 Dynamic simulation of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.7 Converter operated separately excited DC machine



5. Dynamics of DC machines Linearized model for variable flux



$$u_{a}(t) = i_{a}(t) \cdot R_{a} + L_{a} \cdot di_{a}(t) / dt + k_{2} \cdot \Omega_{m}(t) \cdot \Phi(t) \qquad \Phi(t) = \Phi(i_{f}(t))$$

$$J \cdot d\Omega_{m}(t) / dt = k_{2} \cdot \Phi(t) \cdot i_{a}(t) - m_{s}(t)$$
Non-linear expressions
$$u_{f}(t) = i_{f}(t) \cdot R_{f} + d(L_{f}(i_{f}) \cdot i_{f}) / dt \qquad L_{f} = N_{f} \cdot \Phi(i_{f}) / i_{f}$$

- For investigations of small disturbances the equations are linearized !
- Small transient deviations $\Delta u_{a}(t)$, $\Delta i_{a}(t)$, $\Delta \Omega_{m}(t)$, $\Delta m_{s}(t)$, $\Delta \Phi(t)$, $\Delta i_{f}(t)$, $\Delta u_{f}(t)$ from the steady state operation U_{a} , I_{a} , Ω_{m} , M_{s} , Φ , I_{f} , U_{f} !



5. Dynamics of DC machines Linearized model variables



- For investigations of small disturbances the equations are linearized !
- Small transient deviations:
- $$\begin{split} u_{a}(t) &= U_{a} + \Delta u_{a}(t) \\ i_{a}(t) &= I_{a} + \Delta i_{a}(t) \\ \Omega_{m}(t) &= \Omega_{m} + \Delta \Omega_{m}(t) \\ m_{s}(t) &= M_{s} + \Delta m_{s}(t) \\ \varPhi(t) &= \varPhi + \Delta \varPhi(t) \\ u_{f}(t) &= U_{f} + \Delta u_{f}(t) \\ i_{f}(t) &= I_{f} + \Delta i_{f}(t) \end{split}$$
- Small transient deviations from steady state operation: e.g.: $\Delta u_a(t)$ from U_a
- "Small": Per unit deviation < 10%: $\left| \Delta u_a(t) / U_a \right| << 1$



5. Dynamics of DC machines Linearized differential equations at variable flux



- Neglect product of small deviations in voltage equation:

$$\begin{split} u_i(t) &= k_2 \cdot (\Omega_m + \Delta \Omega_m(t)) \cdot (\Phi + \Delta \Phi(t)) = k_2 \cdot \Omega_m \cdot \Phi \cdot (1 + \frac{\Delta \Omega_m(t)}{\Omega_m}) \cdot (1 + \frac{\Delta \Phi(t)}{\Phi}) \\ &(1 + \frac{\Delta \Omega_m(t)}{\Omega_m}) \cdot (1 + \frac{\Delta \Phi(t)}{\Phi}) = 1 + \frac{\Delta \Omega_m(t)}{\Omega_m} + \frac{\Delta \Phi(t)}{\Phi} + \frac{\Delta \Omega_m(t)}{\Omega_m} \cdot \frac{\Delta \Phi(t)}{\Phi} \approx 1 + \frac{\Delta \Omega_m(t)}{\Omega_m} + \frac{\Delta \Phi(t)}{\Phi} \end{split}$$

 $1.21 = (1+0.1) \cdot (1+0.1) = 1 + 0.1 + 0.1 + 0.1 \cdot 0.1 = 1 + 0.1 + 0.1 + 0.01 \approx 1 + 0.1 + 0.1 = 1.20$

- Result for induced voltage $u_i(t)$ and torque $m_e(t)$:

$$u_{i}(t) \cong k_{2} \cdot \Omega_{m} \cdot \Phi + k_{2} \cdot \Delta \Omega_{m}(t) \cdot \Phi + k_{2} \cdot \Omega_{m} \cdot \Delta \Phi(t) = U_{i} + \Delta u_{i,\Omega_{m}}(t) + \Delta u_{i,\Phi}(t)$$

$$\begin{split} m_e(t) &= k_2 \cdot (I_a + \Delta i_a(t)) \cdot (\Phi + \Delta \Phi(t)) \approx k_2 \cdot I_a \cdot \Phi + k_2 \cdot \Delta i_a(t) \cdot \Phi + k_2 \cdot I_a \cdot \Delta \Phi(t) \\ & \overbrace{M_e = k_2 \cdot I_a}^{\checkmark} \cdot \Phi \end{split}$$



5. Dynamics of DC machines Linearized dynamic equations (1)



A) LINEAR differential equations, neglecting products of Δ :

$$\begin{split} U_{a} + \Delta u_{a} &\approx R_{a} \cdot (I_{a} + \Delta i_{a}) + L_{a} \cdot \frac{d(I_{a} + \Delta i_{a})}{dt} + U_{i} + k_{2} \cdot \Delta \Omega_{m} \cdot \Phi + k_{2} \cdot \Omega_{m} \cdot \Delta \Phi \\ J \cdot \frac{d(\Omega_{m} + \Delta \Omega_{m})}{dt} &\approx M_{e} + k_{2} \cdot \Delta i_{a} \cdot \Phi + k_{2} \cdot I_{a} \cdot \Delta \Phi - M_{s} - \Delta m_{s} \\ \hline u_{a} + \Delta u_{a} &\approx R_{a} \cdot (I_{a} + \Delta i_{a}) + L_{a} \cdot \frac{d\Delta i_{a}}{dt} + U_{i} + k_{2} \cdot \Delta \Omega_{m} \cdot \Phi + k_{2} \cdot \Omega_{m} \cdot \Delta \Phi \\ J \cdot \frac{d\Delta \Omega_{m}}{dt} &\approx M_{e} + k_{2} \cdot \Delta i_{a} \cdot \Phi + k_{2} \cdot I_{a} \cdot \Delta \Phi - M_{s} - \Delta m_{s} \\ \hline \Delta u_{a}(t) &\approx R_{a} \cdot \Delta i_{a}(t) + L_{a} \cdot \frac{d\Delta i_{a}(t)}{dt} + k_{2} \cdot \Delta \Omega_{m}(t) \cdot \Phi + k_{2} \cdot \Omega_{m} \cdot \Delta \Phi(t) \\ J \cdot \frac{d\Delta \Omega_{m}(t)}{dt} &\approx k_{2} \cdot \Delta i_{a}(t) \cdot \Phi + k_{2} \cdot I_{a} \cdot \Delta \Phi(t) - \Delta m_{s}(t) \\ \end{split}$$

TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 36 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder
5. Dynamics of DC machines Linearized dynamic equations (2)



NON-LINEAR field circuit: $\Phi(t) = \Phi(i_f(t))$

$$u_{f}(t) = R_{f} \cdot i_{f}(t) + N_{f} \cdot \frac{d\Phi(t)}{dt}$$

$$u_{f}(t) = U_{f} + \Delta u_{f} = R_{f} \cdot I_{f} + R_{f} \cdot \Delta i_{f} + N_{f} \cdot \frac{d(\Phi(I_{f}) + \Delta \Phi(t))}{\frac{dt}{\frac{d\Delta \Phi(t)}{dt}}}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{f} + \Delta u_{f} = R_{f} \cdot I_{f} + R_{f} \cdot \Delta i_{f} + N_{f} \cdot \frac{d\Delta \Phi(t)}{dt}$$

$$\Delta u_f = R_f \cdot \Delta i_f + N_f \cdot \frac{d\Delta \Phi(t)}{dt}$$



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 37 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

5. Dynamics of DC machines Linearized dynamic equations (3)



B) LINEAR differential equations of <u>deviations</u> :

$$\begin{split} \Delta u_a(t) &\approx R_a \cdot \varDelta i_a(t) + L_a \cdot \frac{d\varDelta i_a(t)}{dt} + k_2 \cdot \varDelta \Omega_m(t) \cdot \varPhi + k_2 \cdot \Omega_m \cdot \varDelta \varPhi(t) \\ J \cdot \frac{d\varDelta \Omega_m(t)}{dt} &\approx k_2 \cdot \varDelta i_a(t) \cdot \varPhi + k_2 \cdot I_a \cdot \varDelta \varPhi(t) - \varDelta m_s(t) \\ \varDelta u_f &\approx R_f \cdot \varDelta i_f + N_f \cdot \frac{d\varDelta \varPhi(t)}{dt} \end{split}$$

"Small signal theory":

Linear differential equation system is only valid within the limits of deviation from steady state operation of about +/- 10 ... 20 %.

Constant parameters of differential equations depend on steady state values.



5. Dynamics of DC machines Linearized dynamic equations (4)



C) In case of linear systems NO linearization is necessary: "Large signal theory"!

Example:

Separately excited DC machines with constant flux operation !

$$\begin{split} \Delta \Phi(t) &= 0 \Rightarrow \Phi = const. \Rightarrow i_f = I_f = const. \Leftrightarrow \Delta i_f = 0\\ \Delta u_a(t) &= R_a \cdot \Delta i_a(t) + L_a \cdot \frac{d\Delta i_a(t)}{dt} + k_2 \cdot \Delta \Omega_m(t) \cdot \Phi\\ J \cdot \frac{d\Delta \Omega_m(t)}{dt} &= k_2 \cdot \Delta i_a(t) \cdot \Phi - \Delta m_s(t) \qquad \Delta u_f = R_f \cdot \Delta i_f = 0\\ u_a(t) &= R_a \cdot i_a(t) + L_a \cdot \frac{di_a(t)}{dt} + k_2 \cdot \Omega_m(t) \cdot \Phi\\ J \cdot \frac{d\Omega_m(t)}{dt} &= k_2 \cdot i_a(t) \cdot \Phi - m_s(t) \qquad u_f = U_f = R_f \cdot i_f = R_f \cdot I_f \end{split}$$



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 39 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

Energy Converters – CAD and System Dynamics



Summary:

Linearized model of separately excited DC machine for variable flux

- Method of linearization of non-linear equations shown
- Linearization only possible for small disturbances ("perturbation method")
- Perturbation method leads to small signal theory
- Separately excited DC machine at constant main flux linear also for large signals



Energy Converters – CAD and System Dynamics



- 5. Dynamics of DC machines
 - 5.1 Dynamic system equations of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.2 Dynamic response of electrical and mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.3 Dynamics of coupled electric-mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.4 Linearized model of separately excited DC machine for variable flux
 - 5.5 Transfer function of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.6 Dynamic simulation of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.7 Converter operated separately excited DC machine



5. Dynamics of DC machines Separately excited DC machine: Transfer function (1)

- Here: Constant flux operation (ϕ = const.) = linear system !

- Initial conditions set to zero:

 $\Delta u_a(0) = 0$, $\Delta i_a(0) = 0$, $\Delta \Omega_m(0) = 0$, $\Delta \Phi(0) = 0$, $\Delta m_s(0) = 0$, $\Delta i_f(0) = 0$

- Laplace transform: $\left| L \left\{ \frac{d\Delta i_a(t)}{dt} \right\} = s \cdot \Delta \breve{i}_a(s) - \Delta i_a(0) = s \cdot \Delta \breve{i}_a(s) \right|$ and so on

$$\Phi = \text{const.:} \qquad \Delta \breve{u}_a(s) = R_a \cdot \varDelta \breve{i}_a(s) + s \cdot L_a \cdot \varDelta \breve{i}_a(s) + k_2 \cdot \varDelta \breve{\Omega}_m(s) \cdot \Phi J \cdot s \cdot \varDelta \breve{\Omega}_m(s) = k_2 \cdot \varDelta \breve{i}_a(s) \cdot \Phi - \varDelta \breve{m}_s(s)$$

$$\Delta \breve{\Omega}_m(s) = \frac{s+\gamma}{s^2+\gamma \cdot s + \frac{\gamma}{T_m}} \cdot \frac{1}{J} \cdot \left[\frac{\gamma}{s+\gamma} \cdot \frac{k_2 \Phi}{R_a} \cdot \Delta \breve{u}_a(s) - \Delta \breve{m}_s(s) \right] \quad \gamma = 1/T_a$$





Separately excited DC machine: Transfer function (2)



$$\Delta \vec{\Omega}_m(s) = \frac{s + \gamma}{s^2 + \gamma \cdot s + \frac{\gamma}{T_m}} \cdot \frac{1}{J} \cdot \left[\frac{\gamma}{s + \gamma} \cdot \frac{k_2 \Phi}{R_a} \cdot \Delta \vec{u}_a(s) - \Delta \vec{m}_s(s) \right] \qquad \gamma = 1/T_a = 2\delta$$





Characteristic polynomial of 2nd order differential equation

$$P(s) = 0 = s^2 + \gamma \cdot s + \frac{\gamma}{T_m} = (s - \underline{s}_1) \cdot (s - \underline{s}_2)$$





TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

DARMSTADT

Roots characteristic polynomial of 2nd order in s-plane



$$\tan \alpha = \frac{\omega_d}{\delta} = N_H$$

- Real part of roots in the left half plane ($\text{Re}(\underline{s}_1)$, $\text{Re}(\underline{s}_2) < 0$): Stable operation.
- If imaginary part of roots is zero $(Im(\underline{s}_1) = Im(\underline{s}_2) = 0)$: No oscillations occur.
- Real part of roots $Re(\underline{s}_1)$, $Re(\underline{s}_2)$ small: Time constants are very long.
- Pairs of conjugate complex roots $\underline{s}_1, \underline{s}_2$: Sine & cosine function as transients.
- Imaginary part of root is far off origin ($Im(\underline{s}_1) >> 1$): Oscillation frequency is high.
- **Tangent of angle** α = number $N_{\rm H}$ of half periods of transient oscillation, till damped to 5% of initial value.



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

DARMSTADT

Example: Speed response to mechanical load torque step (1)



Constant armature voltage, load step after no-load operation:



• <u>Decomposition</u> in single terms for inverse Laplace transform: for t > 0

 $Laplace domain: \frac{s+2\delta}{(s+\delta)^2 + \omega_d^2} \cdot \frac{1}{s} = \frac{2\delta}{\delta^2 + \omega_d^2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{s+\delta}{(s+\delta)^2 + \omega_d^2} + \frac{\omega_d^2 - \delta^2}{2\delta \cdot \omega_d} \cdot \frac{\omega_d}{(s+\delta)^2 + \omega_d^2}\right)$ Time domain: $T_m \cdot \left(1 - e^{-\delta \cdot t} \cdot \cos(\omega_d \cdot t) + \frac{\omega_d^2 - \delta^2}{2\delta \cdot \omega_d} \cdot e^{-\delta \cdot t} \cdot \sin(\omega_d \cdot t)\right)$



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 46 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

5. Dynamics of DC machines Side calculations for inverse Laplace transform



$$\frac{s+\gamma}{s^{2}+\gamma\cdot s+\frac{\gamma}{T_{m}}} \cdot \frac{1}{s} = \frac{s+2\delta}{(s+\delta)^{2}+\omega_{d}^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{s} = \frac{A}{s} + \frac{Bs+C}{(s+\delta)^{2}+\omega_{d}^{2}} \qquad A \cdot ((s+\delta)^{2}+\omega_{d}^{2}) + Bs^{2} + Cs = s+2\delta$$

$$s^{2}(A+B) + s(A\cdot 2\delta + C) + A(\delta_{2}+\omega_{d}^{2}) = s+2\delta \rightarrow \begin{cases} A+B=0\\ A\cdot 2\delta + C = 1\\ A\cdot (\delta^{2}+\omega_{d}^{2}) = 2\delta \end{cases} \qquad B = -2\delta/(\delta^{2}+\omega_{d}^{2})$$

$$\frac{s+2\delta}{(s+\delta)^{2}+\omega_{d}^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{s} = \frac{2\delta}{\delta^{2}+\omega_{d}^{2}} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{s} + \frac{-s+(\omega_{d}^{2}-3\delta^{2})/(2\delta)}{(s+\delta)^{2}+\omega_{d}^{2}}\right]$$

$$\frac{s+2\delta}{(s+\delta)^{2}+\omega_{d}^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{s} = \frac{2\delta}{\delta^{2}+\omega_{d}^{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{s+\delta}{(s+\delta)^{2}+\omega_{d}^{2}} + \frac{\omega_{d}^{2}-\delta^{2}}{2\delta\cdot\omega_{d}} \cdot \frac{\omega_{d}}{(s+\delta)^{2}+\omega_{d}^{2}}\right)$$
Inverse Laplace transform

$$\frac{2\delta}{\delta^2 + \omega_d^2} \cdot \left(1 - e^{-\delta \cdot t} \cdot \cos(\omega_d \cdot t) + \frac{\omega_d^2 - \delta^2}{2\delta \cdot \omega_d} \cdot e^{-\delta \cdot t} \cdot \sin(\omega_d \cdot t)\right)$$



Side calculations for more elegant formula description



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 48 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Example: Speed response to mechanical load torque step (2)



$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Result:} \quad \Delta \Omega_m(t) = -\frac{\Delta M_s}{J} \cdot T_m \cdot \left[1 - \frac{1}{\omega_d T_m} \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{2T_a}} \cdot \cos(\omega_d t - \psi) \right] \quad \psi = \arccos(\omega_d T_m) \\ \\ \frac{\Delta \Omega_m(t)}{\Omega_{m0}} = -\frac{\Delta M_s}{M_N} \cdot \frac{r_a}{\phi^2} \cdot \left[1 - \frac{1}{\omega_d T_m} \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{2T_a}} \cdot \cos(\omega_d t - \psi) \right] \quad \frac{T_m}{J\Omega_{m0}} = \frac{r_a}{\phi^2} \frac{J\Omega_{m0}}{M_N} \frac{1}{J\Omega_{m0}} = \frac{r_a}{\phi^2 M_N} \end{aligned}$$

a) Data:

$$T_J = 1s$$
, $T_a = 50ms$, $r_a = 0.05$, $\phi = 1$, step in shaft torque of $\Delta M_s / M_N = 0.5$
b) Result with data values:

$$\omega_d = 17.3/s, T_d = 363ms, T_m = 50ms, \ \psi = \arccos(17.3 \cdot 0.05) = 0.526.$$

Transient speed response:
 $\frac{\Delta \Omega_m(t)}{\Omega_{m0}} = -0.5 \cdot 0.05 \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{0.865} \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{2 \cdot 0.05}} \cdot \cos(17.3 \cdot t - 0.526))$



Example: Speed response to mechanical load torque step (3)





Energy Converters – CAD and System Dynamics



Summary:

Transfer function of separately excited DC machine

- (Linearized) differential equation is "transfer function" in LAPLACE domain
- Step response calculated via transfer function
- Denominator of transfer function is characteristic polynomial of diff. equation
- Zeros (= "roots") of characteristic polynomial are "poles" of the system in the *s*-plane
- Negative inverse of "poles" = time constants or natural frequencies of the system
- Positive time constants for stable operation needed
- Hence poles must lie in the negative s-half-plane



Energy Converters – CAD and System Dynamics



- 5. Dynamics of DC machines
 - 5.1 Dynamic system equations of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.2 Dynamic response of electrical and mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.3 Dynamics of coupled electric-mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.4 Linearized model of separately excited DC machine for variable flux
 - 5.5 Transfer function of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.6 Dynamic simulation of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.7 Converter operated separately excited DC machine



5. Dynamics of DC machines Input for dynamic simulations





- General model calculation possible via numerical integration
- Here: Only: a) Linearized non-linear magnetization characteristic

 $\texttt{,,flux vs. field current"} \ \varPhi = k_{\varphi} \cdot i_{f} \Rightarrow \psi_{f} = N_{f} \cdot \varPhi = L_{f} \cdot i_{f} \Rightarrow L_{f} = N_{f} \cdot k_{\varphi}$

- b) Separately excited machine
- c) Constant flux operation



5. Dynamics of DC machines First order differential equations for *RUNGE-KUTTA* method, here for Φ = const. (1)



$$u_{a}(t) = R_{a} \cdot i_{a}(t) + L_{a} \cdot \frac{di_{a}(t)}{dt} + u_{i}(t) \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{di_{a}(t)}{dt} = \frac{u_{a}(t)}{L_{a}} - \frac{R_{a} \cdot i_{a}(t)}{L_{a}} - \frac{k_{2}\Phi}{L_{a}} \cdot \Omega_{m}(t)$$
$$J \cdot \frac{d\Omega_{m}}{dt} = m_{e}(t) - m_{s}(t) \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d\Omega_{m}}{dt} = \frac{k_{2}\Phi}{J} \cdot i_{a}(t) - \frac{m_{s}(t)}{J}$$

- Initial conditions: $i_a(0)$, $\Omega_m(0)$
- The functions $u_a(t)$, $m_s(t)$ must be given for $t \ge 0$!
- In case of varying flux Φ add the third differential equation: $\Phi(i_f(t))$

$$u_f(t) = R_f \cdot i_f(t) + N_f \cdot \frac{d\Phi(t)}{dt} \implies \frac{d\Phi(t)}{dt} = \frac{u_f(t)}{N_f} - \frac{R_f \cdot i_f(t)}{N_f} \quad \Phi \to i_f$$

- The function $u_{f}(t)$ must be given for $t \ge 0$!

Initial condition $i_f(0), \Phi(i_f(0))$



First order differential equations for *RUNGE-KUTTA* method, here for Φ = const. (2)

Example:

Separately excited DC machine: **Motor** data, Motor fed from ideal DC voltage ("stiff battery" = zero internal resistance): $U_N = 460 \text{ V}, P_N = 142 \text{ kW}, n_N = 625/\text{ min}$ $I_N = 320 \text{ A}, I_{fN} = 6.5 \text{ A}, J_M = 7 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^2$ $R_a = 0.05 \Omega, L_a = 1.5 \text{ mH}, R_f = 25 \Omega, L_f = 64 \text{ H}$ Load inertia: (i): 8 kgm² (ii): 143 kgm² Total inertia: J = 15 kgm² = J_N Total inertia: J = 150 kgm²

Two cases investigated:

- a) Load step with rated torque at no-load speed, rated armature voltage and rated flux
- b) Armature voltage step of 20% rated voltage at rated motor operation





technische

UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Steady state characteristics of the DC motor at U_N

Motor constant and flux per pole: $k_2 \Phi_N = \frac{U_i}{\Omega_{mN}} = \frac{444}{2\pi \cdot (625/60)} = 6.78Vs$

Motor efficiency: $\eta = \frac{P_N}{U_N \cdot I_N + R_f I_{fN}^2} = \frac{142000}{460 \cdot 320 + 25 \cdot 6.5^2} = 95.78\%$

Rated torque: $M_N = \frac{P_N}{2\pi \cdot n_N} = \frac{142000}{2\pi \cdot (625/60)} = 2169.6Nm$

Induced voltage at rated speed and torque: $U_i = U_N - I_N \cdot R_a = 460 - 320 \cdot 0.05 = 444V$

No-load speed at rated armature voltage and main flux: $n_0 = \frac{U_N}{2\pi \cdot k_2 \Phi_N} = \frac{460 \cdot 60}{2\pi \cdot 6.78} = 647.9 / \text{min}$







Steady state characteristics of the DC motor at $1.2U_N$

Induced voltage at rated torque: $U_i = 1.2 \cdot U_N - I_N \cdot R_a = 552 - 320 \cdot 0.05 = 536V$ Motor speed at constant flux/pole: $\Omega_m = \frac{U_i}{k_2 \Phi_N} \rightarrow n = \frac{536}{6.78} \cdot \frac{60}{2\pi} = 755 / \text{min}$ No-load speed at 120% armature voltage & main flux: $n_0 = \frac{1.2U_N}{2\pi \cdot k_2 \Phi_N} = \frac{552 \cdot 60}{2\pi \cdot 6.78} = 777.9 / \text{min}$

Tutorial





TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

DARMSTADT

5. Dynamics of DC machines Calculation of time constants





$$T_{a} = \frac{L_{a}}{R_{a}} = \frac{0.0015}{0.05} = 30ms, \qquad T_{f} = \frac{L_{f}}{R_{f}} = \frac{64}{25} = 2.56s,$$

$$T_{m} = \frac{R_{a} \cdot J_{N}}{(k_{2} \Phi_{N})^{2}} = \frac{0.05 \cdot 15}{6.78^{2}} = 0.0163s \qquad \text{(i) at } J_{N} = 15 \text{ kg}\text{m}^{2}$$

$$T_{m} = \frac{R_{a} \cdot J}{(k_{2} \Phi_{N})^{2}} = \frac{0.05 \cdot 150}{6.78^{2}} = 0.163s \qquad \text{(ii) at } J = 150 \text{ kg}\text{m}^{2}$$

T

(i):
$$T_m = 16.3ms$$

 $T_a = 30ms$
(ii): $T_m = 163ms$



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 58 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

Variation of total inertia: (i) $J = 15 \text{ kgm}^2$, (ii) $J = 150 \text{ kgm}^2$

(i) Total inertia 15 kgm²:

 $T_m = 16.3ms < 4T_a = 120ms$: damped oscillations occur:

Damping coefficient:
$$\delta = \frac{1}{2T_a} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 0.03} = 16.67 / s$$
.
Natural frequency: $f_d = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot T_a} \sqrt{\frac{T_a}{T_m} - \frac{1}{4}} = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot 0.03} \sqrt{\frac{0.03}{0.0163} - \frac{1}{4}} = 6.69 Hz$
Period of oscillation is $T_d = \frac{1}{f_d} = \frac{1}{6.69} = 149.5 ms$

After $N_H = \frac{\omega_d}{\delta} = \frac{2\pi \cdot 6.69}{16.67} = 2.5$ half periods the oscillation is reduced down to 5%.



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

DARMSTAD

Tutorial



(ii) Total inertia increased by factor 10: 150 kgm²

 $T_m = 163 ms > 4T_a = 120 ms$: no oscillations occur:

long time constant:
$$T_1 = \frac{2T_a}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4T_a}{T_m}}} = \frac{2 \cdot 30}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 \cdot 30}{163}}} = 123.3 ms$$
,
short time constant $T_2 = \frac{2T_a}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4T_a}{T_m}}} = \frac{2 \cdot 30}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 \cdot 30}{163}}} = 39.6 ms$
 $T_2 = 39 ms$
 $T_a = 30 ms$
 $T_1 = 123 ms$ $T_m = 163 ms$



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 60 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 61 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder





b) Armature voltage step of 20% rated voltage at rated motor operation







TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 63 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder



b) Armature voltage step of 20% rated voltage at rated motor operation







TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 64 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

Energy Converters – CAD and System Dynamics



Summary: Dynamic simulation of separately excited DC machine

- Linear differential equation is solved numerically via RUNGE-KUTTA
- Parameter variation: Big vs. small inertia = without / with oscillations
 Usually: Load inertia big, so no oscillations occur!
- Step response to torque as disturbing signal
- Step response to armature voltage as commanding signal
- Further example in the text book with variable series resistor



Energy Converters – CAD and System Dynamics



- 5. Dynamics of DC machines
 - 5.1 Dynamic system equations of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.2 Dynamic response of electrical and mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.3 Dynamics of coupled electric-mechanical system of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.4 Linearized model of separately excited DC machine for variable flux
 - 5.5 Transfer function of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.6 Dynamic simulation of separately excited DC machine
 - 5.7 Converter operated separately excited DC machine



Converter-operated separately excited DC machine

Rectified voltage from the grid: $U_d(\alpha) = U_{d0} \cdot \cos \alpha$



B6C bridge



 $U_{d0} = \frac{3}{\pi} \cdot U_{LL} \cdot \sqrt{2}$

Six-pulse ripple

Thyristor control: $U_d > 0$, $U_d < 0$ possible

rectified voltage





Armature current with six-pulse ripple due to B6C converter



Calculation example in "Collection of Exercises"



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 68 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

5. Dynamics of DC machines **B2H-converter (cheap solution)**







Due to diodes: only $U_{\rm f}$ > 0 possible

H: half-controlled



5. Dynamics of DC machines Field current: Two-pulse ripple due to B2H-converter









Due to the magnetic common path in the yoke a change of the armature current i_a causes a change of yoke iron permeability μ_{Fe} . Hence also the stator field B_{f} changes and induces a voltages in the field coil, which causes a (small) six-pulse ripple of field current i_{f} .



or <u>armature</u> and <u>field</u> circuit of separately excited DC machines		
	Armature $U_a <> 0, I_a > 0$	B6C: One six-pulse, voltage controlled thyristor bridge, 6 thyristors. Voltage and current ripple: $6f = 300$ Hz. $n \le 0, M \ge 0$, but often only: One quadrant operation: $n \ge 0, M \ge 0$
	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Armature} \\ U_a <> 0, I_a <> 0 \end{array}$	(B6C)A(B6C): Two anti-parallel six-pulse, voltage controlled thyristor bridges, 12 thyristors Voltage and current ripple: $6f = 300$ Hz Four quadrants operation: $n <> 0$, $M <> 0$
	Field $U_f \ge 0, I_f \ge 0, \Phi \ge 0$	B2H: One two-pulse, voltage controlled thyristor-diode bridge, 2 thyristors, 2 diodes Voltage and current ripple: 2 <i>f</i> = 100 Hz
Į	Field $U_f <> 0, I_f \ge 0, \Phi \ge 0$	B2C: One two-pulse, voltage controlled thyristor bridge, 4 thyristors Voltage and current ripple: 2 <i>f</i> = 100 Hz
ł	Field $U_f <> 0, I_f \ge 0, \Phi \ge 0$	B6C: One six-pulse, voltage controlled thyristor bridge, 6 thyristors Voltage and current ripple: 6 <i>f</i> = 300 Hz



Different configurations of controlled rectifier bridges




5. Dynamics of DC machines Four-quadrant operation







5. Dynamics of DC machines Parallel B6C bridge operation







5. Dynamics of DC machines (B6C)A(B6C)-bridge operation





TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 75 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

5. Dynamics of DC machines Exact calculation of parasitic circular current $i_{\rm K}$





For small values $T_{\rm K}$ the parabolic approximation of $i_{\rm K}$ is derived!



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 76 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

Parabolic approximation of small parasitic circular current $i_{\rm K}$





For small values of α : $2T_K \ll T/6 \Rightarrow \omega T_K \ll 1$

$$\hat{i}_{K} = \frac{U_{K}}{\omega L_{K}} \cdot \frac{1 - \cos(\omega T_{K})}{\sin(\omega T_{K})} \approx \frac{U_{K}}{\omega L_{K}} \cdot \frac{1 - (1 - (\omega T_{K})^{2}/2)}{\omega T_{K}} = \frac{U_{K} T_{K}}{2L_{K}} \qquad \hat{i}_{K} = \frac{U_{K} T_{K}}{2L_{K}}$$



TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 77 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

Voltage limits of converter-operated DC machines



Three-phase AC 400 V grid, B6C-bridge:

maximum voltage:
$$U_d(\alpha = 0) = U_{d0} \cdot \cos 0 = U_{d0} = \frac{3}{\pi} \cdot U_{LL} \cdot \sqrt{2} = \frac{3}{\pi} \cdot 400 \cdot \sqrt{2} = 540 \text{ V}$$

rated voltage: $U_d(\alpha = 30^\circ) = U_{d0} \cdot \cos 30^\circ = 540 \cdot \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} = 460 \text{ V}$

voltage margin for voltage control: $\Delta U_d = 540 \text{ V} - 460 \text{ V} = 80 \text{ V}$ So thyristor bridge is operated between $30^\circ < \alpha < 150^\circ$.

Example:

Three-phase AC 400 V grid, usual rated and maximum voltages:

	Maximum voltage	Rated voltage	
B6C	540 V	460 V	1 quadrant operation
(B6C)A(B6C)	540 V	400 V	4 quadrant operation



Limits of converter-fed DC machine operation





TU Darmstadt, Institut für Elektrische Energiewandlung | Energy Converters – CAD & System Dynamics, 5. / 79 Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Dr. h.c. Andreas Binder

Typical response times of converter-fed DC machines



$$T_f = L_f / R_f >> T_a = L_a / R_a$$

Fast DC machine reaction via change of i_a , NOT via change of i_f !

Time for reversal of armature current.

a) (B6C)A(B6C)	b) (B6C)A(B6C)	c) Mechanical switch
Both bridges always active	Only one bridge active	Polarity changer
< 0.5 ms	5 10 ms	50 1500 ms

The larger numbers correspond with larger drives of several hundreds of kW up to MW range.

Time for reversal of field current.

a) (B6C)A(B6C)	b) Mechanical switch	
0.5 2 s	1 2.5 s	

The larger numbers correspond with larger drives of several hundreds of kW up to MW range.



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT

DARMSTADT

Energy Converters – CAD and System Dynamics



Summary:

Converter operated separately excited DC machine

- Thyristor converter operation of DC machine is a dynamic operation
- Armature current time signal may be calculated analytically (see: Collection of Exercises)
- Anti-parallel thyristor rectifier for reversed torque
- Circulating parasitic current between the two thyristor bridges possible
- Limiting operation curves for variable speed DC machine
- Typical dynamic response times of controlled DC machines rise with increased machine size
- Fast control via change of armature current due to $T_a \ll T_f$

